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Building the capacity for product stewardship and EPR in the U.S. to reduce the health & environmental impacts of products across their lifecycle since 2000

- Members
- Partners
- Advisory council
- State product stewardship councils (PSCs)
- 20+ products
Product Stewardship

A principle that directs all those involved in the life cycle of a product to take shared responsibility for reducing the health and environmental impacts that result from the production, use, and end-of-life management of the product.
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Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR)

A mandatory type of product stewardship that includes, at a minimum, the requirement that the producer's responsibility for its product extends to post-consumer management of that product and its packaging.
U.S. EPR Laws
(partial list)

118* epr laws
14 products
33 states + d.c.

*while bottle bills are highly effective at recovering beverage containers, this does not include the 10 state bottle bills in the U.S. due to the different ways the policies shift responsibility to producers.
Why EPR Is Growing
In the U.S. and Globally

- Ground has been plowed
- Effectiveness:
  - Sustainable financing ($$$ savings)
  - Education and infrastructure (convenience)
  - Increased recovery, reuse, and recycling
  - Jobs
  - Improved efficiency
  - Better products (fewer lifecycle impacts)
EPR for PPP in Canada

Source: Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment

1: In PEI, a provincial agency, Island Waste Management Corporation, operates an integrated province-wide recycling program
2: YT, NWT, NU: some municipal programs in major centres
EPR for PPP in Canada

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Producer Operated</th>
<th>Municipal Operated</th>
<th>Producer Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>√*</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>50%**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In the British Columbia system, some municipalities continue to provide recycling services under contract with RecycleBC, the producer responsibility organization.

**The Ontario program is shifting from a shared responsibility, municipal-operated program with reimbursements from producers to a full responsibility, producer-operated program with 100% of the costs borne by producers.
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**Municipalities can:**
- Collect under contract within program and be paid;
- Turn recycling over to producers; or
- Continue as-is, no reimbursement.

* In the British Columbia system, some municipalities continue to provide recycling services under contract with RecycleBC, the producer responsibility organization.
**The Ontario program is shifting from a shared responsibility, municipal-operated program with reimbursements from producers to a full responsibility, producer-operated program with 100% of the costs borne by producers.
Incentivizing Change

• Weight reduction (fees per ton)
• High-value materials (net costs)
• Materials that are easy to recycle (recovery and recycling rates; penalize contamination, damaging materials)

--> efforts to more precisely set fees to drive design change
Ontario

“The current shared responsibility model for Ontario’s Blue Box Program is inadequate. It fosters system fragmentation by leaving operational decisions to individual municipalities… This fragmentation creates confusion for consumers; businesses have no way of influencing local programs and therefore do not fully engage on packaging design choices; and essential economies of scale cannot be achieved to support a circular economy because uncertainty deters investment in new processes and systems.”
Quebec

“From **payers** to **key players**…

- **Packaging ecodesign** as the norm (recyclable recycled materials with no overpackaging);
- A **comprehensive, integrated** and **transparent** approach and constant preoccupation with **quality** for all stakeholders;
- The development of local and neighboring recyclable **materials markets**;
- **Greater roles and responsibilities for companies**, beyond the funding they already provide, in the **organization of the system and management of the materials**”
British Columbia

• Keys to weathering challenges:
  • Larger scale (province-wide)
  • Consistent material list
  • Harmonized communications

.quality and consistency of materials (reduced contamination and confusion) --> ability to find markets
What Are the Goals?

- Shift costs? Sustainable financing?
- Collect more materials?
- Expand collection convenience?
- Increased quality of materials?
- Market development?
- Protect assets?
- Protect existing programs?
- Reduction of material? Of impacts?
- Circularity?
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EPR changes the system

The design of the system influences outcomes
Current Legislative Proposals: State

- Study bills (WA; VT)
- EPR law in development (ME Resolve)
- Full EPR program bills (MA, IN)
- Additional states expected to introduce/re-introduce legislation next session
Current Legislative Proposals: Federal

- Comprehensive proposal
  - EPR for packaging
  - National bottle bill
  - Bag ban + fee
  - Other single-use plastics bans
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